

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,647	09/24/2001	Jean-Jacques Claisse	CLAISSE=2	6513
7590 01/19/2006			EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAH ED: 01/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¥)	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	09/960,647	CLAISSE ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
This application is abandoned in view of:		•			
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the open seed reply was received on but it does not not to the open seed reply was received on but it does not not to the open seed reply was received on but it does not not to the open seed reply was received on but it does not	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired o	n			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely find the Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	iled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		,			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and the decision		cause the period for seeking court review			
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:					
A call was made to the attorney of record on January 13, 2006 to verify the abandonment of the application.					
	SUP	HAROLD PYON ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 177			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 01132006			